arXiv:1510.02883v1 [math-ph] 10 Oct 2015

MOTION AMONG RANDOM OBSTACLES ON A HYPERBOLIC SPACE

ENZO ORSINGHER, COSTANTINO RICCIUTI, AND FRANCESCO SISTI

ABSTRACT. We consider the motion of a particle along the geodesic lines of the Poincaré half-plane. The particle is specularly reflected when it hits randomly-distributed obstacles that are assumed to be motionless. This is the hyperbolic version of the well-known Lorentz Process studied by Gallavotti in the Euclidean context. We analyse the limit in which the density of the obstacles increases to infinity and the size of each obstacle vanishes: under a suitable scaling, we prove that our process converges to a Markovian process, namely a random flight on the hyperbolic manifold.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Random obstacles in the Poincaré half-plane. 3
2.1. Poisson random fields in $\mathbb{H}_2$ 3
2.2. Poissonian obstacles 5
3. The Lorentz Process in the Poincaré half- plane 6
3.1. Description of the model. 6
3.2. Free path among Poissonian obstacles 8
3.3. The main theorem 10
3.4. The limit Markovian random flight. 15
3.5. Final remarks 16
4. Appendix. Piecewise geodesic motion on the hyperbolic half-plane. 17
References 18

1. INTRODUCTION

Since modern physics showed how the Euclidean space is merely a local approximation of the geometry underlying the universe, non Euclidean manifolds has been a topic of growing interest among both mathematicians and physicists.

Over the last few decades much attention has been paid to the random motions that occur in non-Euclidean spaces. In particular, random motions on hyperbolic spaces are often reported in the literature. Most of the papers focus on hyperbolic Brownian motion, but random motions at finite velocity have also been investigated recently (see [5; 6; 7; 12]).

Date: October 13, 2015.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* TO BE DEFINED.
Key words and phrases. Poisson random fields, hyperbolic spaces, Lorentz model, Boltzmann-Grad limit, kinetic equations, random flights.

We believe that the Lorentz process, studied in detail by Gallavotti [9], is a paradigmatic model for finite velocity random motions and therefore we have focused our attention on this topic.

The Lorentz process studied by Gallavotti is the motion performed by a particle in the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, where static spherical obstacles are distributed according to a Poisson probability measure with intensity λ. The particle is assumed to move along straight lines and to be specularly reflected by the obstacles. The process is clearly non-Markovian, because the trajectories recall the effect of previous collisions. The main result of Gallavotti's study is the proof of consistency of the so-called Boltzmann-Grad limit, in which the radius r of each obstacle decreases to zero and the density λ increases to infinity, so that the mean free path $(2\lambda r)^{-1}$ remains constant (few collisions regime). Under the Boltzmann-Grad asymptotics, the single-time probability density of the Lorentz process converges to that of a Markovian process, solving a linear Boltzmann equation.

Gallavotti's model was firstly improved by Spohn [15] and Boldrighini et al. [3], and was further developed in subsequent papers. In [8], Desvillettes and Ricci studied a variant of the model in which the obstacles are totally absorbing and an external force field is present; in this case the Boltzmann-Grad limit does not lead to a Markovian process, unless a random motion of the obstacles is assumed (with Gaussian distribution of velocities). Gallavotti's work has also inspired the approach of Basile et al. [2], for which there is a slightly different context, especially because the obstacles are circular potential barriers instead of being hard spheres. However, the authors followed in Gallavotti's footsteps and, by suitably scaling the Poissonian density and the potential intensity, they obtained a Markovian approximation that is governed by a linear Landau equation; the limiting process is halfway between the Boltzmannn-Grad regime (few collisions) and the weak-coupling regime (many collisions).

In this paper we construct the Lorentz process in the Poincaré half-plane, which is one of the most popular Euclidean models of hyperbolic spaces. For the reader's convenience, we will report some of the basic facts on the Poincaré half-plane. It can be defined as the region $\mathbb{H}_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, y > 0\}$ endowed with the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}. \tag{1.1}$$

From (1.1) it follows that geodesic curves in $\mathbb{H}_2$ are either Euclidean half-circumferences with their centers on the x-axis or Euclidean lines parallel to the y-axis. Moreover, the hyperbolic distance between two points $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ in $\mathbb{H}_2$, denoted as $d_h(P_1, P_2)$, is given by

$$\cosh\big(d_h(P_1, P_2)\big) = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2}{2y_1 y_2}. \tag{1.2}$$

An hyperbolic circle with center $C = (x_c, y_c)$ and hyperbolic radius η is defined as $B_\eta(C) = \{w \in \mathbb{H}_2 : d_h(w, C) \le \eta\}$ and its border is denoted as $\partial B_\eta(C)$; from (1.2) we obtain that:

$$\partial B_\eta(C) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}_2 : (x - x_c)^2 + y^2 - 2yy_c \cosh\eta + y_c^2 = 0\} \tag{1.3}$$

corresponding to an Euclidean circumference of radius $y_c \sinh\eta$ and center $(x_c, y_c \cosh\eta)$. The infinitesimal hyperbolic area is given by

$$dA = \frac{dxdy}{y^2} \tag{1.4}$$

and the area of the hyperbolic circle is thus

$$|B_\eta(C)| = 4\pi \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{1.5}$$

An equivalent definition of the hyperbolic half-plane can be given in the complex domain as the region $\mathbb{H}_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ where the measure of the infinitesimal arc length is given by $\frac{|dz|}{Imz}$. This formulation is convenient to express all isometries in $\mathbb{H}_2$ which are given by the Möbius group of transformations (see [4]):

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2 : \ \mathcal{M}(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \quad \text{with } a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R};\ ad-bc=1 \tag{1.6}$$

and will play an important role in the present work.

We can now give a brief description of our model. The free particle moves along geodesic lines and is reflected by the scatterers. Considering that the hyperbolic velocity is defined as

$$c(t) = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{y}\sqrt{\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2}, \tag{1.7}$$

it is assumed that the particle moves at constant hyperbolic velocity $c(t) = c$. This means that the hyperbolic distance run by the particle in a time Δt is given by $c\Delta t$. Therefore, an Euclidean observer sees the particle moving with a position-dependent velocity equal to $c\, y$. Without loss of generality, one can assume $c = 1$.

We introduce the notion of Poissonian distribution of obstacles in the Poincaré hyperbolic half-plane. The obstacles are hyperbolic balls of radius r, whose centers are distributed according to a spatial Poisson process which is homogeneous in the sense of the measure (1.4), i.e. the mean number of obstacle centers per unit hyperbolic area (denoted as λ) is uniform in $\mathbb{H}_2$. This means that the obstacles are identical and homogeneously distributed in respect to the hyperbolic metric, yet to an Euclidean observer they appear to be smaller and denser when approaching to the x-axis (see figure 4).

The main result of this study is the analysis of a Boltzmann-Grad-type limit in which the hyperbolic radius r of each obstacle decreases to zero and the density λ in the hyperbolic setting diverges to infinity, so that the mean free path $(2\lambda \sinh r)^{-1}$ remains constant. Under this limit, the density of our process converges to the density of some Markovian random flight. Moreover, we prove that this limit random motion is similar to the process analysed by M.Pinsky [14], who generalized the well-known Euclidean isotropic transport process to the case of an arbitrary Riemannian manifold.

2. Random obstacles in the Poincaré half-plane.

2.1. **Poisson random fields in $\mathbb{H}_2$.** Assume that a countable set Π of points is randomly distributed on the Poincaré half-plane $\mathbb{H}_2$ with rate $\lambda(x, y)$. We say that Π is a Poisson random field in $\mathbb{H}_2$ if:

- For any appropriate set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{H}_2$, the random variable $N(\mathcal{S})$, namely the cardinality of $\Pi \cap \mathcal{S}$, has the following distribution:

$$\Pr(N(\mathcal{S}) = k) = e^{-\Lambda(\mathcal{S})} \frac{(\Lambda(\mathcal{S}))^k}{k!} \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(x,y) \frac{dxdy}{y^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

- For any couple of disjoint regions $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$, the random variables $N(\mathcal{S}_1)$ and $N(\mathcal{S}_2)$ are stochastically independent.

We here restrict our attention to the case where the rate λ is constant (homogeneous hyperbolic Poisson field). Thus, the number of points inside any set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{H}_2$ has Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda|\mathcal{S}|$, where

$$|\mathcal{S}| = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{dxdy}{y^2} \tag{2.3}$$

is the hyperbolic area of $\mathcal{S}$.

Therefore the probability to have exactly n points in a region $\mathcal{S}$ and to find them inside the hyperbolic elements $dc_1, dc_2, ...dc_n$ around $c_1, c_2, ...c_n$ is given by

$$\Pr\{P_1 \in dc_1 \dots P_n \in dc_n, N(t) = n\} = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda|\mathcal{S}|} dc_1 ....dc_n \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$dc_j = \frac{dx_j dy_j}{y_j^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

It is important to observe that the homogeneous Poisson random field only depends on the measure of areas and therefore it is invariant under the group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}_2$ expressed in (1.6).

To have a more complete description of the homogeneous hyperbolic Poisson field, we treat briefly the distributions of the nearest neighbours points. Let us fix a point $O \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and denote by T_k the hyperbolic distance between O and the k^{th} nearest point of Π.

Denoting by B_η the hyperbolic ball of radius η and by dB_η the infinitesimal anulus of radii η and $\eta + d\eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{T_k \in d\eta\} &= \Pr\{N(B_\eta) = k-1\} \Pr\{N(dB_\eta) = 1\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda|B_\eta|} \frac{(\lambda|B_\eta|)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \lambda |dB_\eta| \qquad k \geq 1, \eta > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Since $|B_\eta| = 4\pi \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}$, the anulus dB_η has measure $2\pi \sinh \eta d\eta$ and thus

$$\Pr\left(T_k \in d\eta\right) = e^{-4\pi\lambda \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}} \frac{\left(4\pi\lambda \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} 2\pi\lambda \sinh \eta d\eta \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, the distribution for the nearest neighbour T_1 reads

$$\Pr\left(T_1 \in d\eta\right) = e^{-4\pi\lambda \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}} 2\pi\lambda \sinh \eta d\eta \tag{2.8}$$

with expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\left(T_1\right) = e^{2\pi\lambda} K_0(2\pi\lambda), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$K_0(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z\cosh t} dt \tag{2.10}$$

is the modified Bessel function. Formula (2.8) is the hyperbolic counterpart of the well known Rayleigh distribution, which describes the distance T_1^e of the nearest neighbour point in the case of a Poissonian random field in the Euclidean plane:

$$\Pr\left(T_1^e \in dr\right) = e^{-\lambda\pi r^2} 2\pi\lambda r dr \tag{2.11}$$

with mean value

$$E\left(T_1^e\right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}. \tag{2.12}$$

By means of the asymptotic formula for the modified Bessel function, expression (2.9) reduces to (2.12) for large values of λ, namely when the expected distance between Poissonian points decreases and an Euclidean description works well.

2.2. **Poissonian obstacles.** We now introduce the notion of the Poissonian distribution of obstacles into the hyperbolic half-plane $\mathbb{H}_2$, and we distinguish between hard and soft obstacles, which is a common practice for motions in Euclidean spaces.

We are inspired by [13], where the author studies Poissonian soft obstacles in a particular non Euclidean manifold: the surface of a sphere.

Let us consider Π to be a homogeneous hyperbolic Poisson field in $\mathbb{H}_2$ with constant intensity λ and let us assume that each point $P \in \Pi$ produces a potential around itself, whose intensity ϕ is a function of the geodesic distance from P. It is assumed that ϕ is compactly supported, namely $\phi(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta > r$. The hyperbolic ball of center P and radius r, where the function ϕ is non-null, is known as a soft obstacle. When a particle hits a soft obstacle, it is subject to an interaction described by ϕ. Of course, the obstacles may overlap, which occurs whenever the geodesic distance between two Poissonian points is less than $2r$. Therefore, at a certain point Q, the superposition of the action due to the points $P_1 ... P_N$ located in a hyperbolic ball $B_r(Q)$ defines a new random field

$$V(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi\big(d_h(P_j Q)\big) \tag{2.13}$$

where N has Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda|B_r(Q)|$ and $d_h\big(P_jQ\big)$ is the geodesic distance between P_j and Q. Two facts play fundamental roles. The first is that the random field (2.13) is homogeneous, meaning that the distribution of $V(Q)$ does not depend on Q. The second is that (2.13) is isotropic, namely the covariance between $V(Q)$ and $V(Q')$ only depends on the geodetic distance between Q and Q'. For the sake of brevity we omit a complete proof of these facts which can easily be obtained by following the same steps as in [13].

Hard obstacles are hyperbolic disks of radius r centered at the points of a Poisson random field in $\mathbb{H}_2$. In many models of random motions, hard obstacles represent totally absorbing traps with random locations. In other models, they act as totally reflecting barriers and can be deemed to be the limiting case of soft obstacles where the following intensity function is considered:

$$\phi(\eta) = \begin{cases} \infty & \eta \le r \\ 0 & \eta > r \end{cases}$$

Thus, in what follows, we consider a system of hard obstacles, whose centers are distributed according to a homogeneous hyperbolic Poisson field of constant intensity λ. A configuration of this kind is homogeneous and isotropic as already explained above.

3. The Lorentz Process in the Poincaré half- plane

3.1. **Description of the model.** Let us now consider the following mechanical model. A single particle moves in the Poincaré half-plane, where static circular obstacles are distributed according to a Poisson measure. At each instant t, the state of the particle is described by the couple (q, v), where $q = (x, y) \in \mathbb{H}_2$ is the position in the half-plane, and $v = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha)$ represents the direction of motion. Whenever the position q of a particle lies outside the obstacles, the particle moves along the (unique) geodesic line tangent to v at the point q. We assume that the particle has unit hyperbolic speed, namely the hyperbolic distance traveled in a time t is equal to t. For any initial state (q, v) at $t = 0$, the evolution of the particle position until the first collision is given by the geodesic flow $\Phi^{(q,v)}(t)$, for $t \geq 0$. The explicit expression of the geodesic flow is not essential now and will be given in the Appendix (formula (4.3)).

When a collision with an obstacle occurs, the particle is reflected on its surface.

In our model we assume that the particle performs a "specular reflection" in $\mathbb{H}_2$. Now, in order to generalize the notion of specular reflection from $\mathbb{R}^2$ (where it is straightforward) to $\mathbb{H}_2$, we recall these two basic facts.

The first one is that the measure of hyperbolic angles in the Poincaré half-plane corresponds to the measure performed by an Euclidean observer (this is not true in general for all the models of hyperbolic space, for instance the Klein disk model). The second one is that the angle between two geodesic lines coincides with the one formed by the corresponding Euclidean tangents at the point of incidence, as well as the angle between a geodesic line and a circle is the one detected by the respective tangent lines.

Therefore, we refer to the specular reflection in $\mathbb{H}_2$ in the following way: denoting respectively by g_1, γ and g_2 the pre-collisional geodesic, the tangent to the obstacle and the post-collisional geodesic, we say that the particle is specularly reflected if the angle between g_1 and γ is equal to the angle between g_2 and γ, as shown in figure (1).

Due to collisions, the sample paths of the moving particle are composed of arcs of circumferences pieced together (for an expression of the piecewise geodesic flow see the Appendix (formula (4.8)).

As a first step, assume now that a configuration of obstacle centers $\{c\} = \{c_1, c_2, ...c_j...\}$ is fixed. Let r be the hyperbolic radius of the obstacles. For a given initial state (q, v), the evolution of the particle position is given by the piecewice geodesic curve $\Phi^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t)$, which clearly only depends on the obstacles of $\{c\}$ centered within a hyperbolic distance $t + r$ from q, since the hyperbolic velocity is assumed equal to 1. By deriving with respect to t we obtain the Euclidean velocity of the particle (i.e. the velocity perceived by an Euclidean observer) which is denoted by



FIGURE 1. Specular deflection of angle β due to an obstacle whose hyperbolic (Euclidean) center is C_H (C_E). The angle of incidence and the angle of reflection are both equal to $\frac{\beta}{2}$.

$\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t)$. The direction of motion is given by the unit vector

$$V^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t) = \frac{\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t)}{||\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t)||} \qquad t \geq 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where $||.||$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Hence we define the billiard flow (among the obstacles configuration $\{c\}$) as the following curve on the tangent bundle $\mathbb{H}_2 \times S_1$:

$$\Psi^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t) = \big(\Phi^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t), V^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(t)\big) \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

By assuming that the locations of the obstacles is random, the evolution of the particle defines a stochastic process $\{Q_r(t), V_r(t), t > 0\}$, on $\mathbb{H}_2 \times S_1$ (the subscript "r" representing the radius of the obstacles) that we call hyperbolic Lorentz Process. We denote its joint density by $f_r(q, v, t)$ and suppose that an initial condition $f_r(q, v, 0) = f_{in}(q, v)$ is given, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_2 \times S_1} f_{in}(q, v) dq dv = 1.$$

The function f_{in} should be chosen in such a way that its support lies outside the system of obstacles, but here a difficulty arises since the obstacles location is random. We can skip this problem by choosing f_{in} as any probability density on $\mathbb{H}_2 \times S_1$ and assuming that if the particle initially lies inside an obstacle, it remains at rest forever. It is important to note that such a constraint disappears in the limit of small obstacles considered in this study.

For each $t > 0$, the joint density of the hyperbolic Lorentz Process is given by

$$f_r(q, v, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\{c\}} f_{in}\big(\Psi^{(q,v)}_{\{c\}}(-t)\big) \tag{3.3}$$

where the expectation is performed with respect to the Poisson measure.

Before stating the main result of the present work, it is necessary to determine the probability distribution of the free path length among Poissonian obstacles. The calculation requires some properties of hyperbolic geometry, and is shown in detail in the following section.

3.2. **Free path among Poissonian obstacles.** Let us consider a Poissonian distribution of spherical obstacles of hyperbolic radius r in the Poincaré half-plane. Suppose that a particle, which is initially located at an arbitrary point $q \in \mathbb{H}_2$, is shot towards an arbitrary direction v and moves along the geodesic line tangent to v at q. We are interested in the probability distribution of the first hitting time $T_{(q,v)}$ with the system of obstacles. Obviously, under the assumption of unitary hyperbolic speed, $T_{(q,v)}$ coincides with the free path length, namely with the hyperbolic distance traveled by the particle without having collisions.

The main idea is the following: the free path $T_{(q,v)}$ is greater than t if and only if none of the obstacles has its center in the tube

$$\theta(q,v,t) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{H}_2 : \inf_{s\in[0,t]} d_h\big(p, \Phi^{(q,v)}(s)\big) < r \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

where $d_h(p,w)$ is the hyperbolic distance between two points p and w of the hyperbolic plane.

In the Euclidean case, the tube is simply given by the union of a rectangle of sides $2r$ and t and two half-circles of radius r (see figure 2). Some difficulties arise in $\mathbb{H}_2$, where, surprisingly, the two curves at hyperbolic distance r on either side of a geodesic line are not geodesic lines.

We have then to determine the shape and the hyperbolic area of $\theta(q,v,t)$. To this aim we use the following representation:

$$\theta(q,v,t) = \bigcup_{0\le s\le t} B_r(\Phi^{(q,v)}(s)). \tag{3.5}$$

In order to do that we make use of a suitable transformation in $\mathbb{H}_2$. Indeed, one can show (see [4], Lemma 2.6) that among Möbius transformations (1.6) there exists a bijective isometry $\mathcal{M}_{(q,v)} : \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2$ such that[1] :

$$\mathcal{M}(\Phi^{(q,v)}(s)) = \Phi^{(\tilde q,\tilde v)}(s) \qquad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\tilde q = (0,1)$ and $\tilde v = (0,1)$, consequently $\Phi^{(\tilde q,\tilde v)}(s) = (0, e^s)$. In other words, $\mathcal{M}$ maps any geodesic line into a vertical geodesic line.

Through $\mathcal{M}$ the region $\theta(q,v,t)$ is mapped into the region:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(\theta(q,v,t)) &= \left\{ w \in \mathbb{H}_2 : \inf_{s\in[0,t]} d_h\big(\mathcal{M}^{-1}(w), \Phi^{(q,v)}(s)\big) < r \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ w \in \mathbb{H}_2 : \inf_{s\in[0,t]} d_h\big(w, \Phi^{(\tilde q,\tilde v)}(s)\big) < r \right\} = \theta(\tilde q,\tilde v,t)\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where we used that $\mathcal{M}$ is invertible, it preserves distances and the property (3.6), so that the mapped region takes the following simple representation:

$$\theta(\tilde q,\tilde v,t) = \bigcup_{0\le s\le t} B_r\big((0,e^s)\big). \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover since $\mathcal{M}$ is an isometry, it preserves areas, whence we can finally compute the desired area as:

$$|\theta(q,v,t)| = |\theta(\tilde q,\tilde v,t)| \tag{3.9}$$

[1] We omit the calculations for sake of brevity. $\mathcal{M}_{(q,v)}$ depends on (q,v) as parameters; for simplicity we will use the notation $\mathcal{M}$ in the following.



FIGURE 2. The Euclidean tube-like region $\theta(q, v, t)$ around the free particle trajectory.

Now, (3.8) is the region inside the envelope of the following family of curves

$$C_t = \{\partial B_r\big((0,s)\big), \quad 1 \leq s \leq e^t\} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\partial B_r\big((0,s)\big)$ has cartesian equation

$$h(x,y,s) = x^2 + (y - s\cosh r)^2 - s^2 \sinh^2 r = 0.$$

We obtain the envelope of C_t by means of the following system

$$\begin{cases} h(x,y,s) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} h(x,y,s) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

which gives the union of the following Euclidean lines

$$y = \frac{x}{\sinh r} \qquad\qquad y = -\frac{x}{\sinh r} \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, the tube $\theta(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ is the section of a cone with vertex in $(0,0)$ and central axis the line $x = 0$, as shown in figure 3.
It is now important to observe that (3.12) is tangent to $\partial B_r(0,1)$ at the points $A = (\tanh r; \frac{1}{\cosh r})$ and $B = (-\tanh r, \frac{1}{\cosh r})$, and also tangent to $\partial B_r(0, e^t)$ at the points $C = (-e^t \tanh r; \frac{e^t}{\cosh r})$ and $D = (e^t \tanh r, \frac{e^t}{\cosh r})$.
Moreover A and B lie on the geodesic line $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, while C and D lie on $x^2 + y^2 = e^{2t}$. This makes it clear that $\theta(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ is composed of three parts: the half-circle below the geodesic segment AB, the intermediate region $\theta'(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ with vertices A, B, C, D and the half-circle above the geodesic segment CD. The hyperbolic area of $\theta'(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ is defined as

$$|\theta'(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)| = \int_{\theta'(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)} \frac{dxdy}{y^2}$$

By means of the substitutions $x = \rho\cos\gamma$ and $y = \rho\sin\gamma$ we have that

$$|\theta'(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)| = \int_1^{e^t} \int_\alpha^{\pi - \alpha} \frac{\rho\, d\rho d\gamma}{(\rho\sin\gamma)^2} = \frac{2t}{\tan\alpha}$$



FIGURE 3. The hyperbolic tube-like region $\theta(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ around the free particle trajectory.

where $\tan\alpha = \frac{1}{\sinh r}$ is related to the slope of (3.12). Finally, the area of $\theta(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)$ is given by

$$|\theta(\tilde{q}, \tilde{v}, t)| = 4\pi \sinh^2 \frac{r}{2} + 2t \sinh r \tag{3.13}$$

We can now come back to the probability distribution of the first hitting time $T_{(q,v)}$. As before, we denote by $N(\mathcal{S})$ the number of points inside $\mathcal{S}$. By excluding the possibility that q is located within some obstacles, we thus have

$$\Pr\left\{T_{(q,v)} > t \,|N(B_r(q)) = 0\right\} = \frac{\Pr\left\{T_{(q,v)} > t\,,\, N(B_r(q) = 0\right\}}{\Pr\left\{N(B_r(q) = 0\right\}}$$
$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda|\theta(q,v,t)|}}{e^{-\lambda|B_r(q)|}} = e^{-2\lambda t \sinh r}$$

where, in the last equality, we used (3.9) and (1.5).
We conclude that $T_{(q,v)}$ has an exponential probability distribution with parameter $2\lambda \sinh r$. Thus the mean free path, which is a fundamental quantity in what follows, is given by

$$\sigma^{-1} = (2\lambda \sinh r)^{-1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Performing the same calculation in the Euclidean case leads to say that the free path has an exponential distribution of parameter $2\lambda r$ and the mean free path is thus $(2\lambda r)^{-1}$.

3.3. **The main theorem.** The most important result of the present work is the next theorem, where we find a suitable scaling limit corresponding to small obstacles. Among all possible scalings, the one consisting in

$$r \to 0 \qquad \lambda \to \infty \qquad \text{in such a way that} \quad 2\lambda \sinh r = \sigma > 0,$$

that we call hyperbolic Boltzmann-Grad limit in analogy with Gallavotti's work, ensures a non-trivial approximation for $f_r(q,v,t)$.

Moreover, as a final result, we will also show (see section 3.4) that the limit function $f(q,v,t)$ is the probability density of a Markovian process, namely a random flight $\{\big(Q(t),V(t)\big), t>0\}$ on the Poincaré half plane.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{(Q_r(t),V_r(t)), t>0\}$ be the Lorentz process in the Poincaré half-plane, defined in such a way that the obstacles are disks of hyperbolic radius r, whose centers are distributed as a hyperbolic homogeneous Poisson field with intensity $\lambda=\frac{\sigma}{2\sinh r}$. Let $f_{in}\in L_\infty(\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1)$ be the initial probability density. Then, in the limit $r\to 0$, the joint density f_r of the Lorentz process converges in L_1 sense to some probability density f for each $t>0$. Moreover f solves the following equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(q,v,t)+\mathcal{D}f(q,v,t)=-\sigma f+\sigma\int_0^{2\pi} f(q,R_\beta v,t)\,\frac{1}{4}\sin\frac{\beta}{2}d\beta \tag{3.15}$$
$$f(q,v,0)=f_{in}(q,v),$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the operator of covariant differentiation along the geodesic lines and R_β is the rotation of an angle β.

Proof. It is possible to write explicitly $f_r(q,v,t)$. Of course, $f_r(q,v,t)=f_{in}(q,v)$ if q lies inside any obstacle. The following calculations are made on condition that no obstacle center lies inside the ball of hyperbolic radius r around q.

Let us suppose that the particle state at time t is given by (q,v) and consider the backward trajectory. We denote by $N_{(q,v)}(t)$ the number of collisions which occurred up to time t. From section 3.2, it is clear that

$$\Pr\big\{N_{(q,v)}(t)=0\big\}=\Pr\big\{T_{(q,v)}>t\big\}=e^{-2\lambda t\sinh r}. \tag{3.16}$$

Instead, the probability that the particle collides exactly n obstacles whose centers are located in the infinitesimal hyperbolic areas $dc_1,....dc_n$ around $c_1,....c_n$ is

$$\Pr\big\{N_{(q,v)}(t)=n, C_1\in dc_1,\dots,C_n\in dc_n\big\}=\lambda^n e^{-\lambda|\theta_{\{c\}}(q,v,t)|}dc_1\cdots dc_n \tag{3.17}$$

where $\theta_{\{c\}}(q,v,t)$ is the tube of hyperbolic width $2r$ around the particle trajectory, namely the tube-like region swept by an ideal obstacle when its center is moved along the path. While in the Euclidean case this region is simply given by a non disjoint union of rectangles, it here has a more complex shape and its hyperbolic area can be estimated as

$$|\theta_{\{c\}}(q,v,t)|=2t\sinh r+o(\sinh r). \tag{3.18}$$

The particle density (3.3) can be written as

$$f_r(q,v,t)=f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}(-t))e^{-2\lambda t\sinh r}$$
$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{A^n_{q,v}} f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}_{(c_1\cdots c_n)}(-t))\lambda^n e^{-\lambda|\theta_{\{c\}}(q,v,t)|}dc_1\cdots dc_n \tag{3.19}$$

where Ψ denotes the billiard flow defined in (3.2) and $A^n_{q,v}$ is the subset of $B^n_{t+r}(q)$, containing all the obstacles configurations such that the backward trajectory with initial state (q,v) collides with the n obstacles centered at $c_1\cdots c_n$.

Following Gallavotti's proof, we observe that among all the possible configurations of obstacles, there are some such that the trajectory hits each obstacle at most once, and there are others that lead to recollisions. Thus we can split f_r into



FIGURE 4. A typical backward trajectory with four collisions, starting from the state (q, v) at time t.

two components, that we respectively call the "Markovian" and the "recollision" terms:

$$f_r(q, v, t) = f_r^M(q, v, t) + f_r^{REC}(q, v, t). \tag{3.20}$$

We now restrict our attention to the Markovian term $f_r^M(q, v, t)$. By considering the backward evolution, let $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n$ be the collision times, such that

$$0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 \cdots < \tau_n < t \tag{3.21}$$

and $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n$ be the corresponding deflection angles. Then, for a given particle trajectory, there is a one-to one correspondence between the $2n$ variables $c_1 \cdots c_n$ and the $2n$ variables $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n$.

We now obtain the transformation

$$dc_1 ... dc_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sinh^n(r) \sin \frac{\beta_1}{2} ... \sin \frac{\beta_n}{2} d\tau_1 ... d\tau_n d\beta_1 ... d\beta_n \tag{3.22}$$

which is a crucial point to prove the theorem. For simplicity, we treat the case $n = 1$, the general case follows immediately by carrying out tedious calculations. We explain how to determine the center $C = (x_C, y_C)$ of the obstacle in terms of the flight time τ and the deflection angle β.

Let the particle be at position q and unit velocity v at time t; we are interested in its backward evolution . After a time τ the particle collides with the first obstacle, namely a circle centered at $C = (x_C, y_C)$, and it is reflected in a trajectory forming an angle β with the incoming trajectory as shown in figure 1.
In order to perform the calculation we first employ the Möbius transformation (3.6). In this way the particle backward trajectory is mapped into $\Phi^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(s) = (0, e^s)$ for $s \in [0, \tau)$; besides, the obstacle centered at $C = (x_C, y_C)$ is mapped into an obstacle

centered at $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{x_C}, \tilde{y_C})$ of the same hyperbolic radius[2].
The traveled time τ is preserved under an isometry, thus the point of impact is mapped into $(0, e^\tau)$; the deflection angle β is also preserved since $\mathcal{M}$ is conformal (as it is an isometry). These facts will be of great importance in the following calculation.
Finally we are interested in the area element dc that can be computed as:

$$dc = d\tilde{c} = \frac{d\tilde{x_C}\, d\tilde{y_C}}{\tilde{y_C}^2} \tag{3.23}$$

In this setting, as can be clearly seen in figure 1, one can ideally "reach" the obstacle center $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{x_C}, \tilde{y_C})$ from the collision point $(0, e^\tau)$; it is sufficient to rotate the unit velocity of an angle $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}$ and to travel along a path of hyperbolic length r. Thus, by using (4.3) we have

$$\tilde{x_C} = -\frac{e^\tau \sinh r \cos\frac{\beta}{2}}{\cosh r - \sin\frac{\beta}{2}\sinh r} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\tilde{y_C} = \frac{e^\tau}{\cosh r - \sin\frac{\beta}{2}\sinh r}. \tag{3.25}$$

The Jacobian determinant of the trasformation $(\tilde{x_C}, \tilde{y_C}) \to (\tau, \beta)$ is given by

$$\det J = \frac{1}{2}\frac{e^{2\tau}\sinh r \sin\frac{\beta}{2}}{(\cosh r - \sin\frac{\beta}{2}\sinh r)^2} \tag{3.26}$$

and therefore the infinitesimal surface element is

$$d\tilde{c} = \frac{d\tilde{x_C}\, d\tilde{y_C}}{\tilde{y_C}^2} = \frac{1}{2}\sinh r \, \sin\frac{\beta}{2}\, d\beta d\tau. \tag{3.27}$$

as desired. Thus the Markovian term can be then written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_r^M(q,v,t) = f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}(-t))e^{-2\lambda t\sinh r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^t d\tau_2 .... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^t d\tau_n \\ \int_{[0,2\pi]^n} d\beta_1 ....d\beta_n \, f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}_{\tau_1\dots\tau_n,\beta_1\dots\beta_n}(-t)) \\ e^{-\lambda|\theta_{\{c\}}(q,v,t)|}\frac{\lambda^n}{2^n}\sinh^n r \sin\frac{\beta_1}{2}\dots\sin\frac{\beta_n}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

.

Observe that the general term in the summation is bounded by

$$||f_{in}||_{L_\infty}\frac{(\sigma t)^n}{n!}$$

which is the n^{th} term of an exponential converging series.

Thus, in force of the dominated convergence theorem for the series, passing to the limit as $r \to 0$, together with the assumption $\lambda = \frac{\sigma}{2\sinh r}$, we have that $f_r^M(q,v,t)$ converges pointwise to

[2]Since $\mathcal{M}$ preserve distances, it sends circumferences into circumferences.

$$f(q,v,t) = f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}(-t))e^{-\sigma t} + e^{-\sigma t}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma^n \int_0^t d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^t d\tau_2 .... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^t d\tau_n$$
$$\int_{[0,2\pi]^n} d\beta_1 ....d\beta_n \frac{1}{4^n}\sin\frac{\beta_1}{2}...\sin\frac{\beta_n}{2} f_{in}(\Psi^{(q,v)}_{\tau_1...\tau_n,\beta_1...\beta_n}(-t)) \quad (3.29)$$

and the limit clearly holds also in L_1 sense.

By easy calculations we can check that (3.29) is a probability density, since

$$||f||_{L_1} = \int_{\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1} f(q,v,t)dqdv = 1 \quad (3.30)$$

and furthermore it is bounded in the following way:

$$||f||_{L_\infty} \leq ||f_{in}||_{L_\infty} \quad (3.31)$$

From (3.20) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1} f_r(q,v,t)dqdv = \int_{\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1} f_r^M(q,v,t)dqdv + \int_{\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1} f_r^{REC}(q,v,t)dqdv \quad (3.32)$$

Passing to the Boltzmann-Grad limit, it is clear that the left hand side of (3.32) is equal to 1, because the billiard flow obviously leaves the total probability mass invariant. Moreover, conditions (3.30) and (3.31) and the dominated convergence theorem lead to

$$1 = 1 + \lim_{r\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1} f_r^{REC}(q,v,t)dqdv \quad (3.33)$$

The contribution of the recollision term thus vanishes in L_1-norm, namely the measure of all the pathological paths goes to zero. In the end, by writing (3.20) as

$$f_r(q,v,t) - f(q,v,t) = f_r^M(q,v,t) - f(q,v,t) + f_r^{REC}(q,v,t) \quad (3.34)$$

immediately follows that

$$||f_r(q,v,t) - f(q,v,t)||_{L_1} \leq ||f_r^M(q,v,t) - f(q,v,t)||_{L_1} + ||f_r^{REC}(q,v,t)||_{L_1} \quad (3.35)$$

Under the Boltzmann- Grad limit, the right side of (3.35) vanishes and the proof of convergence is complete.

To prove that (3.29) is a solution to (3.15), we need to define two kinds of operators, which are bounded in the norm $||.||_{L_\infty}$. The first one is the semigroup of geodesic transport with damping, given by

$$T_t f(q,v) = e^{-\sigma t} f(\Phi^{(q,v)}(-t), V^{(q,v)}(-t))$$

which is generated by

$$A = -\sigma - \mathcal{D}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the operation of differentiation along the curve $(\Phi^{(q,v)}(t), V^{(q,v)}(t))$ lying in $\mathbb{H}_2 \times S_1$. The second one is the collision operator:

$$Lf(q,v) = \sigma\int_0^{2\pi} f(q, R_\beta v)\frac{1}{4}\sin\frac{\beta}{2}d\beta.$$

Thus (3.29) can be written as

$$f(q,v,t) = T_t f_{in}(q,v) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0\le s_1\le \ldots \le s_n \le t} T_{t-s_n} L T_{s_n - s_{n-1}} \ldots L T_{s_1} f_{in}(q,v) ds_1 \ldots ds_n$$

which is the Duhamel expansion giving (see [11], page 52) the solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = (A+L) f$$

and this concludes the proof. □

3.4. **The limit Markovian random flight.** We now show that a Markovian transport process $\{(Q(t), V(t)), t > 0\}$ exists whose finite one-dimensional distribution is given by (3.29). The rigorous construction of a process of this kind can be carried out by following the same steps as Pinsky [14], who defined a random flight on the tangent bundle of a generic Riemannian manifold. We assume that $Q(0) = \tilde{q}$ and $V(0) = \tilde{v}$ almost surely, the case of distributed initial data is an immediate consequence. We denote such a process by $\big(Q^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t), V^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t)\big)$ and we outline its construction. Let us consider a sequence of independent waiting times e_j, $j \ge 1$, having distribution

$$\Pr\{e_j > \eta\} = e^{-\sigma\eta} \qquad \eta > 0.$$

A particle moves along the geodesic lines in $\mathbb{H}_2$ and changes direction at Poisson times

$$\tau_n = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots e_n \qquad n \ge 1. \tag{3.36}$$

For $0 \le t \le \tau_1$ we have

$$Q^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t) = \Phi^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t) \qquad V^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t) = \frac{\dot{\Phi}^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t)}{||\dot{\Phi}^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t)||}, \tag{3.37}$$

where Φ is the geodesic flow defined in (4.3). The random point where the first deflection occurs and the corresponding post-collisional velocity are respectively given by

$$Q_1 = \Phi^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(\tau_1) \qquad V_1 = R_{(\beta_1)}\big[V^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(\tau_1^-)\big] \tag{3.38}$$

where $R_{(\beta)}$ denotes the rotation of an angle β. Proceeding recursively, the process is such that, for $\tau_n \le t \le \tau_{n+1}$

$$Q^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t) = \Phi^{(Q_n,V_n)}(t-\tau_n) \qquad V^{(\tilde{q},\tilde{v})}(t) = \frac{\dot{\Phi}^{(Q_n,V_n)}(t-\tau_n)}{|\dot{\Phi}^{(Q_n,V_n)}(t-\tau_n)|} \tag{3.39}$$

where the random point of the j^{th} deflection and the j^{th} post-collisional velocity are denoted by

$$Q_j = \Phi^{(Q_{j-1},V_{j-1})}(e_j) \qquad V_j = R_{(\beta_j)}\big[V^{(Q_{j-1},V_{j-1})}(e_j^-)\big] \tag{3.40}$$

A crucial point of the construction is that the deflection angles β_j are independent of the Poissonian times and among themselves; they have common distribution

$$\Pr\{\theta_j \in d\beta\} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\frac{\beta}{2} d\beta \qquad \beta \in [0, 2\pi]$$

and this is consistent with the cross section due to the collision with a hard sphere.

In the case where the process has initial density $f_{in}(q,v)$, the single-time density of $\big\{(Q(t),V(t)),t>0\big\}$ is just given by (3.29) and it can be written as

$$f(q,v,t)=\tilde{T}_t f_{in}(q,v)=\mathbb{E}\{f_{in}\big(Q^{(q,v)}(t),V^{(q,v)}(t)\big)\}$$

where $\{\tilde{T}_t\,,t>0\}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on the space of differentiable and bounded functions on $\mathbb{H}_2\times S_1$, endowed with the norm $||.||_{L\infty}$. Then, following the same steps of Pinsky [14], we can write the generator of $\tilde{T}_t$: the limit density (3.29) satisfies the following linear Boltzmann-type differential equation (obviously coinciding with 3.15)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(q,v,t)+\mathcal{D}f(q,v,t)=\sigma\int_0^{2\pi}\big(f(q,R_\beta v,t)-f(q,v,t)\big)\,\frac{1}{4}\sin\frac{\beta}{2}d\beta$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation along a geodesic line and R_β is the rotation of an angle β .

3.5. **Final remarks.** In this paper we studied the Lorentz process and the related Boltzmann-Grad limit on a classical model of hyperbolic geometry, namely the Poincaré half-plane. It is not straightforward to show that our results hold in any hyperbolic space. Some problems could arise, for example, when calculating the mean free path, as this is based on the knowledge of the volume of the tube-like regions.

More precisely, it would be interesting to study the Lorentz process on another well-known hyperbolic manifold, namely the Poincaré disk $\mathbb{D}_2$, which we recall to be defined as the set $\mathbb{D}_2=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:x^2+y^2<1\}$ endowed with the metric

$$ds^2=4\frac{dx^2+dy^2}{(1-x^2-y^2)^2}. \tag{3.41}$$

Equivalently, $\mathbb{D}_2$ can be defined as the complex domain $\mathbb{D}_2=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ with infinitesimal arc-length given by $\frac{2|dz|}{1-|z|^2}$. We believe that the isomorphism between $\mathbb{H}_2$ and $\mathbb{D}_2$ could be employed to study the Lorentz process in this space. The isomorphism is given by the Cayley transform $\mathcal{K}:\mathbb{H}_2\to\mathbb{D}_2$ that maps a point $z\in\mathbb{H}_2$ into a point $w\in\mathbb{D}_2$:

$$w=\frac{iz+1}{z+i}. \tag{3.42}$$

If a geodesic line in $\mathbb{H}_2$ is represented by an euclidean half circle with center $(x_0,0)$ and radius r, its image through $\mathcal{K}$ is given by an arc of circumference which is orthogonal to the border of $\mathbb{D}_2$, having center at $\left(\frac{2x_0}{x_0^2-r^2+1},\frac{x_0^2-r^2-1}{x_0^2-r^2+1}\right)$ and radius R such that $R^2=(\frac{2r}{x_0^2-r^2+1})^2$.

Now, although $\mathcal{K}$ represents a contraction of the half-plane into the unitary disk, it is a conformal transform, namely it leaves angles between geodesic lines invariant and we saw how the measure of scattering angles plays a fundamental role in the study of the process. The previous observation, together with the fact that $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ sends geodesic lines in $\mathbb{D}_2$ into geodesic lines in $\mathbb{H}_2$ suggests that a suitable use of the Cayley transform could be the main tool in the study of the Lorentz process in $\mathbb{D}_2$.

We remark that the assumption that hyperbolic angles coincide with the angles measured by an Euclidean observer doesn't hold for example in the Klein disc model

for hyperbolic space (for random motions with branching on the Klein disk see, for example, [10]).

Finally, we would like to state that we did not define the most general Lorentz process on the hyperbolic half-plane. One could investigate, for example, the case of randomly moving obstacles (as Desvillettes and Ricci did in [8] in an Euclidean context): it would be reasonable to assume that each obstacle moves with a fixed hyperbolic velocity, following a Gaussian distribution.

Another line of research could be the analysis of the Lorentz model when other boundary conditions are assumed, e.g. the particle could be re-emitted with a stochastic law instead of being specularly reflected by the obstacles.

4. Appendix. Piecewise geodesic motion on the hyperbolic half-plane.

Before writing an explicit expression for the geodesic flow in the Poincaré half-plane, we recall the corresponding one in the Euclidean context. If a particle starts at $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with velocity v and is not subject to collisions, the position at time t is well known to be equal to

$$\Phi^{(q,v)}(t) = q + vt \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, let $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$ be the hitting times, with

$$0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_n < t \tag{4.2}$$

and let $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$ be the corresponding deflection angles. The position of the particle at time t, that we call $\Phi_n^{(q,v)}(t)$ for simplicity of notation, can be written as

$$\Phi_n^{(q,v)}(t) = q + v\tau_1 + v_1\,(\tau_2 - \tau_1) + \cdots + v_{n-1}(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}) + v_n(t - \tau_n)$$

with

$$v_j = R_{\beta_j} v_{j-1} \qquad\qquad v_0 = v$$

R_{β_j} representing the matrix of rotation of an angle β_j.

We now consider a particle starting at $q = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{H}_2$ with velocity $v = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha)$. Suppose that the particle moves along the geodesic line with hyperbolic velocity of intensity 1. Then, the position of the particle at time t is given by

$$\Phi^{(q,v)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + y_0 \frac{\sinh t \cos\alpha}{\cosh t - \sin\alpha \sinh t} \\ \frac{y_0}{\cosh t - \sin\alpha \sinh t} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.3}$$

Formula (4.3) can be obtained by observing that $(x(t), y(t))$ is obviously given by the intersection of 2 curves, namely the hyperbolic circle of radius t centered at $q = (x_0, y_0)$, having equation

$$(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0 \cosh t)^2 = y_0^2 \sinh^2 t \tag{4.4}$$

and the geodesic line tangent to v at the point q, having equation

$$(x - x_0 - y_0 \tan\alpha)^2 + y^2 = \frac{y_0^2}{\cos\alpha^2}. \tag{4.5}$$

By deriving (4.3) with respect to t we obtain the Euclidean velocity (i.e. the velocity perceived by an Euclidean observer):

$$\dot\Phi^{(q,v)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot x(t) \\ \dot y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{y_0}{(\cosh t - \sin\alpha \sinh t)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha \\ -\sinh t + \sin\alpha \cosh t \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.6}$$

which is a parallel vector field along the curve $\Phi^{(q,v)}(t)$, whose norm is given by $||\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}(t)|| = y(t)$. The unit velocity vector is given by

$$V^{(q,v)}(t) = \frac{\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}(t)}{||\dot{\Phi}^{(q,v)}(t)||} = \frac{1}{\cosh t - \sin\alpha \sinh t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha \\ -\sinh t + \sin\alpha \cosh t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

We now consider the case where the path is a piecewise geodesic. Let $\tau_1 ... \tau_n$ be the hitting times and $\beta_1 ... \beta_n$ be the corresponding deflection angles. The particle starts at $q = (x_0, y_0)$ with velocity $v = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha)$, then it travels along the geodesic line until a time τ_1, when the position is $\Phi^{(q,v)}(\tau_1)$ and the unit velocity is given by the vector $V^{(q,v)}(\tau_1^-)$, which changes into $v_1 = (\cos\alpha_1, \sin\alpha_1) = R_{\beta_1} V^{(q,v)}(\tau_1^-)$. During the time interval $[\tau_{j-1}, \tau_j]$ the velocity evolves from v_{j-1} to $V(\tau_j^-)$ and, at time τ_j it is changed to $v_j = (\cos\alpha_j, \sin\alpha_j) = R_{\beta_j} V(\tau_j^-)$. By iterating (4.3) we immediately obtain

$$\Phi_n^{(q,v)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(\tau_n) + y(\tau_n) \frac{\sinh(t-\tau_n)\cos\alpha_n}{\cosh(t-\tau_n) - \sin\alpha_n \sinh(t-\tau_n)} \\ y(\tau_n) \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh(t-\tau_n) - \sin\alpha_n \sinh(t-\tau_n)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

for $\tau_n < t < \tau_{n+1}$, where $x(\tau_n)$ and $y(\tau_n)$ can be computed in a recursive way by using (4.3).

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Alberto Di Iorio for drawing the pictures of this paper.

References

[1] G. Basile, A. Nota, F. Pezzotti, M. Pulvirenti; *Derivation of the Fick's Law for the Lorentz Model in a low density regime*, Comm. Math. Phys. 336, 1607-1636, (2015)

[2] G. Basile, A. Nota, M. Pulvirenti, *A Diffusion Limit for a Test Particle in a Random Distribution of Scatterers*, J. Stat. Phys . 155:1087-1111, (2014)

[3] C. Boldrighini, C. Bunimovitch, Ya. G. Sinai, *On the Boltzmann Equation for the Lorentz gas*, J. Stat. Phys., 32, 477-501, (1983).

[4] F. Bonahon, *Low-Dimensional Geometry: From Euclidean Surfaces to Hyperbolic Knots*, Student Math. Library, Volume 49, New Jersey (2009).

[5] V. Cammarota, E. Orsingher, *Hitting spheres on hyperbolic space* .Theory Probab. Appl., vol. 57, No 3, pp. 560-587, (2012).

[6] V.Cammarota, E. Orsingher, *Cascades of particles moving at finite velocity in hyperbolic spaces,* J. Stat Phys, Vol.133 , 1137-1159, (2008).

[7] V. Cammarota, E. Orsingher *Travelling randomly on the Poincaré half-plane with a Pythagorean compass,* J. Stat Phys., Vol.130, 455-482, (2008)

[8] L. Desvillettes, V.Ricci, *The Boltzmann-Grad limit of a stochastic Lorentz gas in a force field* Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica (New Series) Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 637-648 (2007)

[9] G. Gallavotti, *Rigorous theory of the Boltzmann equation in the Lorentz gas,* Nota interna n. 358, Istituto di Fisica, Universitá di Roma, (1973)

[10] M. Kelbert, Yu. M. Suhov, *Branching Diffusions on H^d with variable fission: the Hausdorff dimension of the limiting set*, Theory Probab. Appl., 51(1), 155-167, (2007)

[11] V. Kolokoltsov, *Markov processes, semigroups and generators*, De Gruyter, Berlin, (2011)

[12] E.Orsingher, A. De Gregorio, *Random motions at finite velocity in a Non-Euclidean space* Adv. Appl. Prob. Vol 39. n.2, 588-611 (2007).

[13] E. Orsingher, *Shot Noise Fields on the Sphere,* Bollettino U.M.I., Series VI, Vol.8, pp.477-496, (1984).

[14] M. A. Pinsky, *Isotropic transport process on a Riemannian manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol 218, 353-360, (1976).

[15] H. Spohn., *The Lorentz process converges to a random flight.* Comm. Math. Phys. 60, 277-290, (1978).

Dip. di Scienze Statistiche, Sapienza - Università di Roma , Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy.

E-mail address: enzo.orsingher@uniroma1.it

Dip. di Scienze Statistiche, Sapienza - Università di Roma , Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy.

E-mail address: costantino.ricciuti@uniroma1.it

Dip. di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l'Ingegneria, Sapienza - Università di Roma , Via A. Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma, Italy

E-mail address: francesco.sisti@sbai.uniroma1.it